

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

EP No.14 of 2014
Date of Decision: 18th November, 2019

Sunita Duggal

...Petitioner

Versus

Prof. Ravinder Baliala & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI

Present: Mr. Manjeet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhbir Mattewal, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

Mr. K. C. Singla, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 10 to12 and 14.

Mr. Ravi Partap Singh, AAG, Haryana.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he has instructions not to press the present election petition as the same has been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for respondent No.1 as well as counsel for the State submits that they have no objection to the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

In view of the above, present election petition is disposed of as not pressed and having been rendered infructuous as counsel states that the same has been rendered infructuous because of the expiry of the term of office.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI)
JUDGE

18th November, 2019
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No