

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

247

CRM-M-35555-2019

DATE OF DECISION: 17.10.2019

AMANDEEP SINGH @ CHALARA

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Kuldip S. Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. K.K. Bheniwala, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.90 dated 14.09.2018, under Section 22 of the NDPS Act, 1985 registered at Police Station Banur, District Mohali.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations against the petitioner are that 20 injections each containing 2 ml Buprenorphine and 10 bottles of Avil were recovered from him. He further contends that Avil is not covered under the NDPS Act. The petitioner is in custody for over a year and he is not involved in any other case. He has relied upon the judgments of the coordinate Benches of this Court in the case of Dalwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab passed in CRM-M-10753-2019 on 03.05.2019 whereby the petitioner therein, who was in possession of 15 injections of Buprenorphine containing 2 ml each, was granted regular bail after having put in about a year in custody; in the case of Kamaljeet Singh @ Kamal vs. State of Punjab

passed in CRM-M-4538-2019 on 13.03.2019 whereby the petitioner therein, who was in possession of 12 injections of Buprenorphine containing 2 ml each, was granted regular bail after having put in about nine months in custody and in the case of Kuldeep Singh vs. Union Territory, Chandigarh passed in CRM-M-1400-2018 on 19.01.2018 whereby the petitioner therein, who was in possession of 12 injections of Buprenorphine containing 2 ml each, was granted regular bail after having put in about three months in custody.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Balkar Singh, states that although charges have been framed but no prosecution witness has been examined.

Heard.

In view of the submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioner, the judgments of the coordinate Benches of Court, the petitioner is in custody for over a year and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on her furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

17.10.2019.

SwarnjitS