

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

236

CRM-M-35126-2019 (O & M)
Date of Decision: September 27, 2019

HARJIT SINGH @ BABBU

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Ranjit Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. N.K. Banka, DAG, Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 125 dated 14.11.2017, under Section 379-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Haryana, District Hoshiarpur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner had earlier been granted regular bail by the trial Court and he was appearing before the Court on each and every date of hearing except on 12.07.2019 and, consequently, his bail was cancelled. He also contends that the petitioner could not appear before the trial Court on 12.07.2019, as he had wrongly noted the date of hearing. He undertakes that the petitioner shall henceforth appear before the Court on each and every date of hearing and would not absent himself except with the prior permission of the Court.

This Court, by the order dated 28.08.2019, had directed petitioner to appear before the trial Court and in the event of his doing so, he was ordered

to be released on ad interim bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that petitioner has appeared before the trial Court and has been released on ad interim bail on 11.09.2019 in terms of the order dated 28.08.2019.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for petitioner, the order dated 28.08.2019 granting interim bail to petitioner is made absolute.

The petition stands disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

September 27, 2019

A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No