

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

SMRITI
2019.10.18 16:20
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CWP-27263-2018

Date of Decision: 17.10.2019

Nirmal

... Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana and Ors

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present : Mr. Gopal Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sunil Kumar Vashisth, Deputy Advocate General,
Haryana.

Mr. Anil Kumar Rana, Advocate, for respondent No.5

ARUN MONGA, J.(ORAL)

Inter alia, issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* has been sought commanding respondents No. 1 to 3 to execute the warrant of possession in pursuance of Sanad Taksim dated 05.05.2016 (Annexure P-1) with a further prayer to take necessary action against respondent No.5.

2. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a direction may be issued to decide the revision petition bearing R.O.R No.08/2018-19 in a time bound manner.

3. Without commenting on the merits of the case, I am of the opinion that since the aforesaid revision petition is already pending before Financial Commissioner, Haryana, it would be appropriate to first dispose of the same before considering the claim of the petitioner.

4. In the given circumstances, no direction for execution of warrant of possession can be passed.

5. In the premise, without commenting on the merits of the case, writ petition is disposed of with a direction to Financial Commissioner, Haryana (representing State/respondent No.1 herein), before whom the revision petition is pending, to expeditiously dispose of the same. It would be appreciated if the same is disposed of, preferably, within a period of 04 months.

17.10.2019
smriti

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No