

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-34492 of 2019

DATE OF DECISION: SEPTEMBER 2, 2019

PARAMJIT SINGH

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ.

PRESENT: MR. H.S. DHINDSA, ADVOCATE
FOR THE PETITIONER.

MR. RAMDEEP PARTAP SINGH, DAG, PUNJAB.

MR. ABHISHEK GROVER, ADVOCATE
FOR THE COMPLAINANT.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.(ORAL)

Petitioner-Paramjit Singh has prayed for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.76 dated 7.7.2019 under Sections 307, 452, 323, 506 and 34 IPC, registered at P.S. Banur, District Patiala.

The FIR was lodged on the statement of Gurwinder Singh. As per the version in the FIR, on 6.7.2019, the son of the complainant informed that his uncle Parminder Singh had switched-off water and electricity supply of his house. The complainant requested Parminder Singh to restore the same, however, it was not done. At about 9.00 p.m., the complainant went to Parminder Singh's house and asked them about the restoration of water and electricity, but they refused to reconnect the same. The complainant came back at his residence. At about 9.15 p.m. Paramjit Singh holding gandasi alongwith his son Harduman Singh and Parminder Singh alongwith

his son Gurpreet Singh entered the house of the complainant and attacked him. Parmjit Singh hit him with gandasi on his head and caused injuries. When the mother of the complainant tried to rescue, Parminder Singh pushed her and she also suffered injuries in the said occurrence. On these broad allegations, the FIR was registered and there is cross-version also which is recorded through DDR No.044 dated 7.7.2019 as the complainant had fired gun shots.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that civil litigation is going on in between the complainant and the petitioner and it is for this reason a false case has been lodged against the petitioner. He further contends that in the occurrence, the complainant was the aggressor and the petitioner acted in self-defence.

Considering the role attributed to the petitioner and the nature of injuries caused by him, this Court does not find any reason to extend extraordinary concession of pre-arrest bail.

Petition is dismissed.

September 2, 2019
Gulati

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether Reportable : Yes/No

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No