

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-34559-2019

Date of Decision: 25.11.2019

Amit

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, A.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. R.S. Malik, Advocate, for the complainant.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner having remained in custody for about 06 months, with the testimony of the complainant stated to have been recorded before the trial Court and the remaining witnesses all being police officials, as per learned State counsel (on instructions from the police official who is present in Court today to assist him), though learned counsel for the complainant opposes the grant of bail to the petitioner, however, looking at the period of custody and there being 07 witnesses still to be examined, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case for or against the petitioner, the petition is allowed. The petitioner shall be admitted to bail upon his furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

November 25, 2019

nitin

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether Reportable

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)

JUDGE

Yes

No.