

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 7492 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 09.01.2019**

Hari Dev Sharma and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. H.K. Aurora, Advocate for respondent No. 4.

Mr. Vijay Kumar Kaushal, Advocate for respondent No. 6.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that in view of the reply filed on behalf of respondent No. 5, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous and no further orders are required to be passed. Learned counsel further states that in respect of the interest, the petitioners be given liberty to file a representation to the respondents.

The writ petition is disposed of as infructuous. However, liberty is granted to the petitioners to file a representation to the respondents and in case representation is filed by the petitioners claiming interest, the same shall be decided by the respondents by passing appropriate order within a period of three months on the receipt of the said order.

Disposed of.

January 09, 2019

kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No

Whether reportable? Yes/No