

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.22082 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision.26.08.2019**

Payal and others

...Petitioners

Vs

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

Present: Mr. Shubhankar Baweja, Advocate and
Mr. Gaurav G.S. Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, DAG, Haryana.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

-. -

AMIT RAWAL J. (ORAL)

Reply filed on behalf of respondent No.2 in court today is taken on record.

Petitioners, 26 in number, have approached this Court for seeking mandamus directing respondents to appoint them on the post of Steno Typist and prepare a waiting list and for quashing advertisement dated 10.12.2015 (Annexure P-1) and corrigendum (Annexure P-2) whereby 50% reservation has been provided to the post of Steno Typists.

Learned counsel appearing for petitioners submitted that in pursuance of aforementioned advertisement, petitioners, as per eligibility criteria, submitted online applications under various categories, which were 8 in number i.e. Steno Typist (English), Steno Typist (Hindi), Steno Typist (Both Languages), Junior Scale Stenographer (English), Junior Scale

Stenographer (Hindi), Junior Scale Stenographer (Both Languages), Senior Scale Stenographer (English) and Senior Scale Stenographer (Both Languages). Petitioners cleared written examination and called for scrutiny of documents but were not called for interview. It is, in these circumstances, cause of action had accrued to approach this Court.

In response to notice issued, State Counsel handed over a chart containing result of petitioners whereby all petitioners appeared under various categories like General and reserved categories i.e. BCA, BCB, EBPGC, have not been able to make cut off marks, which for BCA is 90, BCB 88, EBPGC 82, General 94 in Category No.2-Steno Typist (Hindi), Category No.6-Junior Scale Stenographer (Both Languages) 110 BCA and 102 General, Category No.3-Steno Typist (Both Languages) BCA 92, General 92, Category No.1-Steno Typist (English) BCA 92, General 94, Category No.8-Senior Scale Stenographer (Both Languages) General 104, BCA 108 and for Category No.4-Junior Scale Stenographer (English) BCA 108 whereas Category No.7-Senior Scale Stenographer (BCA) BCA 110.

The only contention raised by counsel for petitioners is that in case petitioners were found suitable for scrutiny of documents why they have not been called for interview.

In response to aforementioned query, Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, DAG, Haryana submitted that all the candidates who qualified stenography test were called for scrutiny of documents as per eligibility criteria and two times eligible candidates were called for interview. The chart prepared in this regard is extracted as under:-

Post	Appeared in written examination	Called for skilled test	Qualified in skill test	Called for scrutiny of documents	Called for interview
Cat. No.1-Steno Typist (English)	4820	4820	1037	1037	463
Cat. No.2-Steno Typist (Hindi)	3525	3525	1640	1640	660
Cat. No.3-Steno Typist (Both Languages)	2141	2141	888	888	529
Cat. No.4-Junior Scale Stenographer (English)	3211	3211	567	567	47
Cat. No.5-Junior Scale Stenographer (Hindi)	1659	1659	647	180	37
Cat. No.6-Junior Scale Stenographer (Both Languages)	1790	1790	451	451	121
Cat. No.7-Senior Scale Stenographer (English)	2088	2088	159	159	15
Cat. No.8-Senior Scale Stenographer (Both Languages)	1718	1718	386	386	116

The grievance of petitioners cannot be redressed as they have not been able to make cut off marks. No ground for interference is made out.

Dismissed.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

August 26, 2019
Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether reportable

No