

213

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-34130 of 2019**

**Date of decision: October 01, 2019**

Suneha

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU**

**Present:** Mr. B.B.S. Randhawa, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Senior DAG Punjab.

\*\*\*\*\*

**MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J**

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner, in FIR No.137 dated 01.07.2019, under Sections 420, 406, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Batala, Police District Batala.

Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate appears, files Power of Attorney on behalf of the complainant and the same is taken on record.

On 22.08.2019, while issuing notice of motion, this Court has passed the following order:-

*“Contends that there is no allegation against the petitioner, rather it is the husband of the petitioner, who was working with the complainant in his Firm, namely, M/s Syal Finlease Company at Dera Baba Nanak.*

*Notice of motion for 01.10.2019.*

*In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of*

*her arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit her to interim bail in the present case till the next date of hearing on her furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”*

Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Kulwant, has stated that in terms of order dated 22.08.2019, the petitioner has joined investigation and her custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 22.08.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute, subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

**(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)**  
**JUDGE**

**October 01, 2019**

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No