

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.22132 of 2019

Date of Decision : 18.09.2019

Osheen Juneja and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present: Ms.Manpreet Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioners.

....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

Petitioners herein, who are mother and daughter, have filed the instant petition seeking a Mandamus for directing the official respondents to protect their life and liberty by voicing an apprehension and threat to the same at the hands of private respondent No.4.

Counsel has been heard at length.

Pleadings on record are wholly insufficient for this Court to infer an imminent danger to the life and liberty of the petitioners. Rather, averments made in the petition would bring forth that petitioner No.1 had entered into a partnership with respondent No.4 on 28.05.2018 as regards the business/venture of Service Apartments in the name and style of M/s Grand Oyster Homestay. Apparently the partners have fallen out. The instant writ petition can only be seen as a modus adopted by the petitioners to settle scores with an ex-partner.

No intervention in the matter is called for.

Petition dismissed.

18.09.2019
dss

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No