

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No. 2890 of 2019

Date of decision: 22.10.2019

Parkash Chand Goyal

.. Petitioner

v.

Seema Jain, IAS and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. R. K. Girdhar, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Aditya Sharda, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

...

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

Short reply dated 21.10.2019 by way of affidavit of Mr. Tarsem Lal Executive Engineer, Panchayati Raj Public Works Division, SAS Nagar filed in court is taken on record, copy handed over to learned counsel for the petitioner. In the reply, it has been stated that an amount of ₹1,49,84,000/- is due to the petitioner, out of which payment of ₹56,88,000/- has been made.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from Mr. Tarsem Lal, Executive Engineer, submits that balance amount would be cleared within four months from today.

In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he does not want to press the contempt petition any

further.

The contempt petition is disposed of.

In case the statement made today in court is not adhered to, the petitioner would be at liberty to revive the contempt petition. In case he is forced to do so, costs may be imposed which shall be recoverable from the defaulting official(s) personally.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

22.10.2019
mk

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No