

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-7260-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 19.08.2019

Harbans Lal

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Somesh Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Anju Sharma Kaushik, DAG, Punjab,
assisted by Mr. Vishal Shangari, Sr. Asstt.,
Punjab Education Recruitment Directorate.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the instant petition is for correction in the answer key of written examination for the post of Lecturer in English held on 14.02.2016 and 16.02.2016.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, states that during the pendency of the instant petition, the petitioner has been issued appointment letter. A copy of the appointment letter has been delivered to him in person which fact is duly acknowledged by learned counsel for the petitioner. A copy of the appointment letter is taken on record as Mark 'A'.

In view of the above, the instant petition is disposed of having been rendered infructuous.

However, at this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has been offered appointment at a distant place, which

amounts to harassment.

It is clarified that such a plea on the part of the petitioner is not acceptable. If he does not wish to join within the specified period, the post in question be offered to the candidate next in the merit list.

19.08.2019
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No