

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.22173 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision.22.08.2019**

The Sonapat Coop. Sugar Mill Employees Union Ltd., Sonapat
...Petitioner

Vs

State of Haryana and others
...Respondents

Present: Mr. Sandeep Kotla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

-.-

AMIT RAWAL J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he will be satisfied if a direction is issued to the 2nd respondent-Managing Director, Sonapat Cooperative Sugar Mills Ltd. Sonapat to consider the representation dated 11.07.2019 (Annexure P-8) within a stipulated period.

Without going into merits and demerits of claim of the petitioner, I deem it appropriate to direct 2nd respondent-Managing Director, Sonapat Cooperative Sugar Mills Ltd. Sonapat to consider the representation dated 11.07.2019 (Annexure P-8) within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and shall pass a speaking order thereon, failing which respondent No.2 shall be liable to pay costs of ₹25,000/- to the petitioner. This condition of imposing costs is only to prevent petitioner to run from pillar to post and avail remedy of contempt in case of non-compliance of directions issued by this Court.

The writ petition stands disposed of with the above direction.

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

August 22, 2019
Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned	No
Whether reportable	No