

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(259)

CWP-2831-2017

Date of Decision: March 28, 2019

Baldev Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

P.R.T.C.

.. Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Aman Sharma, Advocate, for the respondent-PRTC.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the grievance raised by the petitioner is that the service which the petitioner rendered prior to the regularization of his services, were required to be taken into consideration as a qualifying service for the grant of pensionary benefits.

As per the averments made in the writ petition, the petitioner initially joined on 27.02.1981 and he continued working as such till 12.03.1994, when his services were regularized. Thereafter, the petitioner superannuated on attaining the age of superannuation on 28.02.2014. While computing the pensionary benefits of the petitioner, the respondent have only taken into account the service which the petitioner rendered after 12.03.1994 but did not grant him the benefit of service rendered from 27.02.1981 which was continuous till the regularization of his service.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the case of the petitioner for the grant of the benefit as prayed in the present writ petition i.e. counting of the service rendered by the petitioner prior to the

regularization of his services starting from the year 1981 onwards, as a qualifying service, is covered by the judgment of this Court passed in **CWP No.8064 of 2014, titled as Ujjagar Singh Vs. Pepsu Road Transport Corporation, decided on 21.04.2015.** The said case was also relating to the respondent-Corporation only wherein, the same benefit was extended by this Court.

Learned counsel for the respondent states that though no reply has been filed on behalf of the respondent but he has received the instructions that the case of the petitioner is covered by the decision in **CWP No.8064 of 2014, decided on 21.04.2015** and he also prays that the present writ petition be disposed of in terms of the order passed in the said writ petition.

Keeping in view the request made by learned counsel for the parties, the present writ petition is disposed of in terms of the order passed by this Court in **CWP No.8064 of 2014, decided on 21.04.2015.**

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

March 28, 2019
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No