

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.22244 of 2019

DATE OF DECISION:22.08.2019

Sampuran Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Tarunveer Vashist, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

In the present writ petition, it is stated that the petitioner has incurred medical expenses on the treatment of his wife to the tune of ₹4,24,505/-. Counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner submitted the bills for the reimbursement to the respondent-authorities and the respondent-authorities i.e. Civil Surgeon, Patiala has approved a sum of ₹2,67,663/- vide order dated 05.12.2018 (Annexure P-2) as reimbursement.

Counsel argues that despite an elapse of approximately eight months, the payment has not been released to the petitioner by respondent No.3, who is to make the payment. Counsel argues that once the Health Department has sanctioned the amount of ₹2,67,663/- , there is no impediment in the release of the said amount and prays that a direction be issued to respondent No.3 for the reimbursement of the said amount forthwith.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, petitioner has submitted with the respondents a representation dated 17.07.2019 (Annexure P-6), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No.3 to decide the representation dated 17.07.2019 (Annexure P-6) by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the representation dated 17.07.2019 (Annexure P-6), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to decide the representation dated 17.07.2019 (Annexure P-6) within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefits after the decision of the representation, the same should also be paid to them within three months thereafter.

August 22, 2019
jt

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No