

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(308)

CWP-26439-2018

Date of Decision: March 07, 2019

Harbans Lal

.. Petitioner

Versus

The Punjab State Power Corporation Limited and ors .. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. J.S. Jaidka, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sehaj Bir Singh, Advocate, for the respondents.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the petitioner is challenging the order dated 06.08.2018 (Annexure P-1) by which claim of the petitioner for the grant of 3rd time bound promotional increment on completion of 23 years' service has been rejected.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that though the claim of the petitioner was rejected in August, 2018 but subsequently the respondents have issued a Clarification in respect of the grant of the said benefit on 21.08.2018 as well as on 01.10.2018. Therefore, in view of the Clarification, the case of the petitioner is now squarely covered in his favour for the grant of the said benefit. Learned counsel further states that a bunch of writ petitions, wherein also the same prayer was made i.e. for the grant of promotional increment after completing 23 years of service, came for consideration before the Co-ordinate Bench and the said bunch of writ petitions was decided on 29.11.2018. The order was passed in **CWP No. 1013 of 2017 titled as 'Balvir Singh Vs. PSPCL and another'** and other connected cases.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays that ignoring the earlier rejection of the case of the petitioner on 06.08.2018 (Annexure P-1) and in view of the subsequent Resolution passed by the respondent-Corporation as well as the order passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in **Balvir Singh's case (supra), CWP No. 1013 of 2017**, the case of the petitioner needs to be re-considered.

Mr. Sehaj Bir Singh, Advocate appears on behalf of the respondents and states that the respondent-Corporation will consider the case of the petitioner afresh in view of the subsequent event and will pass a fresh order in respect of the claim of the petitioner for the grant of promotional increment after rendering 23 years of service.

Learned counsel for the parties are agreed that the present writ petition can be disposed in terms of order passed in **Balvir Singh's case (supra), CWP No. 1013 of 2017**.

Keeping in view the prayer made by the parties, the present writ petition is also disposed of in terms of order passed by a Co-ordinate Bench in **Balvir Singh's case (supra), CWP No. 1013 of 2017**.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

March 07, 2019
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No