

(109) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.2815 of 2017
Date of decision: 01.05.2019

Sube Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

BEFORE: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.S. WALIA.

Present: Mr. Vijay Pal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

B.S. WALIA, J. (ORAL)

[1] Prayer in the writ petition is for the issuance of a writ in the nature of Certiorari for quashing the action of the respondent-College is not paying to the petitioner the minimum scale prescribed by the UGC vide Notification Annexure P-5 and further for the issuance of a writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to grant the petitioner the minimum scale prescribed by the UGC vide Notification Annexure P/5 w.e.f. date of appointment as Extension Lecturer with arrears to be released with market rate of interest. Further prayer has also been made for issuance of a writ of Certiorari to quash the action of the respondent-College to adopt the practice of discontinuing Extension Lecturers/Assistant Professors during vacation periods as also for issuance of a writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to grant full salary and allowance for the vacation periods w.e.f. the date of appointment as Extension Lecturer with arrears to be released with market rate of interest as also to quash the action of the respondent-College not to

allow the petitioner to continue in service till regular appointments were made.

[2] However, at the time of arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner stated that he was not pressing the relief claimed except to the extent as per guidelines issued in Annexure R-2 dated 20.07.2017 and that he would be satisfied if the writ petition was disposed of in terms of paragraph No.3 and 9 of Annexure R-2.

[3] Learned Sr. DAG states that he has no objection to the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

[4] In view of the stand of learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is disposed of by directing the respondents to grant the benefits as are admissible to the petitioner in terms of guidelines issued in Annexure R-2 dated 20.07.2017 in accordance with law.

01.05.2019
amit

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No.