

123.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR(F)-577-2019

Date of decision:29.08.2019

NARESH KUMAR

... Petitioner

versus

SEEMA AND ANOTHER

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. S.K. Tripathi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Petitioner-husband has filed the present revision petition impugning the judgment dated 12.07.2019 passed by learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Rewari, whereby on a petition filed by the respondents under Section 125 Cr.P.C., the learned Family Court has held the respondents entitled for maintenance to the tune of Rs.5,000/- per month (i.e. Rs.2,500/- per month to respondent No.1 and Rs.2,500/- per month to respondent No.2), with a rider that the amount already awarded to the respondents as interim maintenance shall be adjusted accordingly.

Counsel for the petitioner has argued that the petitioner is not in any employment and earning his livelihood by doing labour work, whereas the respondent-wife, who has deserted the petitioner without any reasonable cause or excuse, is a lady of clever nature. She has also filed a divorce petition against the petitioner which was dismissed by the learned Additional District Judge, Rewari. The respondent-wife is running a beauty parlour and

matrimonial house without any rhyme or reason intentionally, willfully and deliberately.

Heard counsel for the petitioner.

Even if the argument of counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is earning his livelihood by doing labour work, is accepted, still as on date, a labourer is earning around Rs.12,000/- to Rs.15,000/- per month, whereas the awarded maintenance is Rs.5,000/- and that too for the respondents who are his wife and minor son. Thus, this Court finds that the awarded maintenance is not on higher side.

No ground for interference is thus made out.

Dismissed.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

29.08.2019
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No