

212

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-33950 of 2019

Date of decision: October 01, 2019

Vakeel

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Munfaid Khan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Beniwal, DAG Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner, in FIR No.179 dated 24.05.2012, under Sections 147, 148, 149, 323, 324, 326, 201 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station Hodal, District Palwal.

On 22.08.2019, while issuing notice of motion, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Contends that matter has been compromised between the parties and even two of the co-accused, namely, Rahison @ Sahida and Jameel have already been granted the concession of pre-arrest bail by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Palwal, vide order dated 08.01.2019 (P-3).

Notice of motion for 01.10.2019.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim

bail in the present case till the next date of hearing on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Krishan Kumar, has stated that in terms of order dated 22.08.2019, the petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 22.08.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute, subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

October 01, 2019

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No