

211 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-34150-2019
Date of Decision: 11.12.2019

Pardeep Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Virender Soni, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG Haryana.

* * *

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.269 dated 22.04.2019 under Section 380, 457 IPC registered at Police Station City Rohtak, District Rohtak. The petitioner apprehend his arrest at the hands of Police.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has invited the attention of the Court to the order dated 22.08.2019, whereby while issuing notice of motion to the respondent-State, the interim protection was extended to the petitioner. The said order reads as under:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been indicted on disclosure suffered by co-accused from whom mobile phone was recovered.

Notice of motion for 11.12.2019.

In the meanwhile, petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when

called for and in the event of arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that in deference to the said order, the petitioner submitted himself before the Police and joined the investigation. According to him, the petitioner cooperated with the Police Authorities during the investigation and furnished requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Kuldeep Singh, P.S. City Rohtak does not dispute this fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and challan has already been presented in the Court. Learned counsel further states that the petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 22.08.2019 is made absolute.

11.12.2019

Janki

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No