

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

**CWP No. 27924 of 2017
Date of Decision: 24.1.2019**

Purshotam Singla

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL**

Present: Mr. K.S.Dadwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner has fairly pointed out that the Supreme Court has already issued directions vide judgment and order dated 21.11.2016 passed in ***Civil Appeal No. 2740 of 2007 titled State of Uttar Pradesh through Principal Secretary and others versus All U.P. Consumer Protection Bar Association***. He further submitted that the said petition is being monitored by the Apex Court.

Upon reading of the relevant paragraph of the said judgment of the Supreme Court, we are of the firm opinion that we should not entertain the present petition since the Apex Court is seized of the matter and has also indicated that it would pass further orders in relation to the rules directed to be framed by the State Government as well as Union of India.

In that view of the matter, we dispose of the petition with

liberty to the petitioner to file fresh petition as and when occasion arises.

The petition is disposed of.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

January 24, 2019

Gurpreet

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No