

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-35324-2019

Date of Decision: 18.10.2019

Aminder Singh @ Kala

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Jitender Singh Dadwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S. Sewak, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Though learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is licensed to sell drugs, admittedly, (as per the case of the prosecution), the petitioner was also in possession of drugs which are not covered by the said license but be that as it may, he has also submitted that the entire recovery has been planted upon him.

Learned State counsel, on the other hand submits that the recovery was actually made in the presence of a Deputy Superintendent of Police and therefore that contention is wholly without any basis.

Without making any comment on the actual merits of the case for or against the petitioner, there being admittedly no other criminal case registered against the petitioner, with him having been in custody for more than 06 months and the trial still to effectively commence, the petition is allowed. The petitioner shall be admitted to bail upon his furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

October 18, 2019

nitin

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No.