

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-26051-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : 16.05.2019

Satpal Singh

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Atul Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Sheenu Sura, Advocate,
for respondent Nos.1 and 2.

Ms. Samina Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

CM-720-CWP-2019

CM application is allowed as prayed for and reply on behalf of
respondent Nos.1 and 2 is taken on record.

Main case

Prayer in the present petition filed under Articles 226/227 of
the Constitution of India, *inter alia*, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of
Mandamus, directing respondent Nos.1 and 2 to issue Passport to the
petitioner in pursuance of his application dated 30.06.2018.

Heard.

Learned counsel for respondents Nos.1 and 2 states that a

communication dated 16.07.2018 (Annexure P-4) was sent to the petitioner, whereby, he was called upon to provide explanation within 30 days with regard to the adverse police verification report. The petitioner did not appear before the authorities and therefore, the office closed his file on 03.08.2018.

Considering the fact that finality was accorded to the case of the petitioner prior to the expiry of the stipulated period of 30 days as reflected in communication dated 16.07.2018 (Annexure P-4), the instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent Nos.1 and 2 to issue another communication to the petitioner within four weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this judgment, giving him clear 30 days' time to project his case for issuance of the Passport. On receipt of reply from the petitioner within the stipulated period of 30 days, appropriate action be taken as per law after affording opportunity to the petitioner within eight weeks thereafter.

Disposed of.

16.05.2019
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable : Yes No