

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

320

CWP No.22938 of 2019

Date of Decision : 03.09.2019

Purva Kahol (Minor)

....Petitioner

v/s

Central Board of Scondary Education (CBSE),
and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Mr. Puneet Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Chopra, Advocate for respondent Nos.1 & 2.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Learned counsel contends that the impugned e-mail message (Annexure P-12) dated 03.10.2018 as supplied to the petitioner, rejecting her claim for addition of surname in the matriculation certificate is liable to be set aside and the respondent-Board directed to take a fresh decision on the claim in accordance with the CBSE examination bye laws in view of the petitioner having applied for addition of surname in the certificate as per procedure stipulated under the CBSE bye laws within the stipulated period of time.

[2] Learned counsel for the respondent-Board has not been able to controvert that the petitioner had submitted an application for addition of surname in the certificate as per the procedure stipulated under the CBSE bye laws and within the period of time stipulated in respect thereto.

[3] In the light of the position as noted above, impugned communication (Annexure P-12) dated 03.10.2018 is *quashed* and the

respondent-Board directed to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner

for addition of the petitioners surname in the matriculation certificate, as per CBSE examination bye laws by passing a detailed speaking order within two weeks from the date of submission of certified copy of this order and take such further action as may be warranted in the facts and circumstances of the case.

[4] Writ petition ***allowed*** as above.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

September 03, 2019
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No