

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

220

CRM-M-34307-2019

DATE OF DECISION: 29.10.2019

PARDEEP

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Vinod Thakur, Advocate for
Mr. Keshav Partap Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

Ms. Mannat Anand, Advocate for the complainant.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.164 dated 10.07.2019, under Sections 186, 332, 353, 427 and 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Badhra, District Charkhi Dadri.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations in the FIR against the petitioner, who is Hawaldar in the Indian Army, are that he had gone to the office of the complainant, who is working as Pharmacist-cum-Incharge, Primary Health Centre and had an altercation with him resulting in simple injuries to the complainant. He also contends that the FIR is counterblast to the complaint filed by the wife of the petitioner against the complainant. He further contends that the wife of the petitioner is working in the Primary Health Centre whereof the complainant is In-charge. He also contends that the matter has been compromised.

This Court, by the order dated 16.09.2019, had directed the petitioner to appear before the Investigating Officer and join the

investigation and in the event of his arrest, he was to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating/Arresting Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Pawan Kumar, states that the petitioner has joined investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

Learned counsel appearing for the complainant states that the matter has indeed been compromised.

In view of the submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioner and the petitioner having joined investigation, the order dated 16.09.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. He shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

29.10.2019.

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No