

120

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-707-2019

Date of decision-20.08.2019

Monika @ Ayaan

....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Ms. Amrita Garg, Advocate for the petitioner.

\*\*\*

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

Petitioner- Monika @ Ayaan claims live-in-relationship with the detinue, namely, Pooja and has prayed for her release who is residing with respondent Nos.3 and 4.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that respondent No.4-brother of the detinue is opposing the relationship between the petitioner and detinue. According to the counsel, the detinue is residing with her family members in the illegal confinement.

The sole reliance is placed upon the photographs (Annexure P-3) wherein the petitioner and the alleged detinue are seen together. Mere photographs may not be enough to believe the version of the petitioner as set up in the present petition when it is fairly stated by learned counsel that detinue is residing with her family.

In view of the above, this Court does not find any valid reason to exercise extra ordinary jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

Petition is dismissed.

**(MANOJ BAJAJ)**  
**JUDGE**

**20.08.2019**  
vanita

|                             |     |    |
|-----------------------------|-----|----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned : | Yes | No |
| Whether Reportable :        | Yes | No |