

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

SMRITI  
2019.08.26 17:30  
I attest to the accuracy and  
integrity of this document

CWP-22352-2019

Date of Decision : 22.08.2019

Radhika Dawar and others

...Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab and Ors

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

\*\*\*\*\*

Present : Mr. Sameer Sachdeva, Advocate, for the petitioners

Ms. Ambika Bedi, AAG, Punjab.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that, at this stage, he would be satisfied in case the competent authority is directed to consider and decide the claim of the petitioners in the light of judgment passed by this Court in CWP-1973-2016 titled as **Nishant Kumar and another Vs. State of Punjab and others**, decided on 30.01.2018 (Annexure P-10).

2. Heard.

3. In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent(s) to consider the case of the petitioners in the light of assertion made within six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. If, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the case of the petitioners is at par with the petitioners in the above said judgment, the competent authority is directed to pass the consequential order within a period of 12 weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

22.08.2019.

smriti

(ARUN MONGA)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No