

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-25831-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : 09.09.2019

Anirudh (minor)

...Petitioner

Versus

Central Board of Secondary Education and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. A.S. Gagrha, Advocate,
for Mr. Vivek Baghla, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Kannan Malik, Advocate,
for respondent Nos.1 and 2.

None for respondent No.3.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the instant petition is for issuance of a direction to the respondents to correct the name of mother of the petitioner from “Simmi Gagneja” to “Simmi Jasuja” in the Secondary and Senior Secondary examination certificates (Annexure P-1 and P-2, respectively).

At the very outset, learned counsel for respondent Nos.1 and 2 states that the prayer in question can be dealt with by the respondents only when it is received through the respondent No.3-school as per the procedure laid down in amended Rule 69.1(ii) of Examination Bye-Laws. The respondents have not received any proposal from respondent No.3-school.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he has already

moved necessary application dated 14.06.2018 (Annexure P-6) to respondent Nos.1 and 2, with a copy to respondent No.3, however, the status thereof is not known.

Heard.

In the circumstances, without going into the merits of the case, the petitioner is directed to move a fresh application addressed to respondent No.3 within three weeks. On doing so, respondent No.3 is directed to forward the same within two weeks from the receipt of such application to respondent No.2. Respondent No.2 is directed to take necessary action thereupon, as per law, within four weeks thereafter, after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

Disposed of.

09.09.2019

atulsethi

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No