

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(298)

CWP-25807-2018

Date of Decision: March 06, 2019

Amarjit Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State Power Corporation Limited and ors

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. G.P. Vashisht, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Wadhwa, Advocate, for

Ms. Monica Chhibber Sharma, Advocate for the respondents.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the grievance which is being raised by the petitioner is that the family pension for which the petitioner is entitled for being disabled person, is not being released by the respondents.

Learned counsel for the respondents states that the benefit for which the present petition has been filed, is still under consideration with the respondents but no final decision is taken by the respondents for the grant of the family pension to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, a representation dated 14.06.2018 (Annexure P-10) has been submitted by the petitioner which is still under consideration with the respondents. He further states that the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the representation dated 14.06.2018 (Annexure P-10) by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Learned counsel for the respondents states that the Corporation will pass appropriate order on the representation. Counsel for the respondents has no objection for the grant of the relief as being claimed by the petitioner for disposal of the representation in a time bound manner.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the representation dated 14.06.2018 (Annexure P-10), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the representation dated 14.06.2018 (Annexure P-10) within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefits after the decision of the representation, the same should also be paid to him within three months thereafter.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

March 06, 2019
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No