

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(219)

CWP-6220-2016

Date of Decision: May 14, 2019

Harvinder Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Charanjit Singh Bakhshi, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Rajesh K. Sheoran, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that all the benefits for which, the petitioner was entitled for, have been extended by the respondents themselves. The impugned order has already been withdrawn and therefore, the writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

Keeping in view the statement made by counsel for the petitioner, the present writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

May 14, 2019
harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No