

214

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-33785 of 2019**

**Date of decision: August 27, 2019**

Shakti and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU**

**Present:** Mr. Rishu Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Senior DAG Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

**MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J (Oral)**

Present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of bail pending trial to the petitioners, in FIR No.76 dated 24.04.2017, under Sections 323, 341, 506, 308, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station C Division, Amritsar.

As per prosecution case, petitioners along with co-accused caused injuries to the complainant with deadly weapons and threatened him with dire consequences.

Contentends that the matter has already been compromised between the parties and even co-accused, namely Lovely @ Ladda has also been granted the concession of bail pending trial by this Court vide order dated 08.05.2019 passed in CRM-M-48208 of 2018. Also contends that the role attributed to the petitioner is similar as of said co-accused. Further contends that both the petitioners, namely Shakti and Harish are in custody since 02.02.2019 and 02.03.2019, respectively, and there is no other

criminal case pending against them.

The above factual position is duly acknowledged by learned State counsel, on instructions from police official present in Court to assist him.

Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper book.

Since the matter is stated to be compromised between the parties, co-accused, namely Lovely @ Ladda has also been granted bail pending trial by this Court and concededly, there is no other criminal case pending against the petitioners; thus, further incarceration of the petitioners would not serve any purpose.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed. The petitioners are ordered to be released on bail, in this case, on their furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case as well as of compromise between the parties.

However, it is clarified that petitioners shall fully co-operate with learned trial Court without seeking any unnecessary adjournments.

**(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)**  
**JUDGE**

**August 27, 2019**  
mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No