

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 21981 OF 2019
DATE OF DECISION : 21.08.2019**

Sunita

...Petitioner

versus

Andhra Bank and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present:- Mr. Narender Kaajla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

ARUN MONGA, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter-alia, contends that petitioner being an unmarried female employee has not been granted the benefit of Transfer Policy dated 08.08.2014 (Annexure P-8), as notified by Government of India which is applicable to respondent Bank read with bank's own Transfer Policy dated 29.05.2018 (Annexure P-9).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner points out that the bank's transfer policy clearly envisages that transfer of a lady Clerks shall be effected to nearby places, as far as possible. Notwithstanding, the petitioner has been transferred from Gurugram to Barwala.

3. On a query posed by the Court with regard to distance between the two places, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it is only 50 kms.

4. In the premise, no ground for interference by this Court is made out.

5. On being confronted with the situation, learned counsel for the petitioner wishes to withdraw the instant petition with liberty to pursue the case with the respondent Bank by filing an appropriate representation.

6. Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

AUGUST 21, 2019
shalini

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No