

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

216

CRM-M-33763-2019

Date of Decision:27.08.2019

Gagandeep Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present: Mr. G.L. Bajaj, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhbeer Singh, AAG, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.74 dated 11.07.2019 under Sections 498-A, 406, 494, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Bhadson, District Patiala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is in custody since 16.07.2019. He was married with the complainant about six years ago. The allegation against the petitioner is that soon after marriage, he taunted the complainant for less dowry and has given beatings to her. She was also taunted for giving birth to a child. The complainant was asked to bring Rs.2 lac and on refusal thereof, she was turned out from the matrimonial house.

Learned State Counsel, on instructions from SI Ronnie Singh,

does not dispute the custody period. However, he states that while the

earlier marriage was subsisting, the petitioner has solemnized another marriage with one Sandeep Kaur, daughter of Gurpreet Singh, resident of Raikot District Ludhiana on 18.01.2019. He refers to the Marriage Certificate issued by Gurudwara Sahib Shri Guru Gobind Singh Ji, Mohalla Kalapati, Raikot, District Ludhiana.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 16.07.2019 and trial in the case is not likely to be concluded in the near future, this Court deems it appropriate to admit the petitioner on bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is admitted on regular bail, subject to furnishing of his bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court.

However, the observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the trial Court shall proceed with the trial independently without being influenced by the order of bail passed by this Court.

It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not try to influence any prosecution witnesses directly or indirectly in any manner.

August 27, 2019
seema

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No