

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No. 208

**CWP-9201-2013
DECIDED ON: 14.10.2019**

JAGTAR SINGH AND OTHERS

..PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Bajwa, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Nitesh Singh, Advocate,
for respondents No.2 & 3.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Inter alia, issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus has been sought directing the respondents to appoint the petitioners as Fireman pursuant to advertisement dated 21.12.2012.

2. In the return filed on behalf of contesting respondents No.2 & 3 in para 5, the following stand has been taken:

“5. That further, it is submitted that the candidates who are already in service and working on adhoc basis in the year 2012, also filed writ petition before this Hon'ble Court challenging the fresh selection process and that too on adhoc basis on the ground that the candidates already working on adhoc basis cannot be replaced by another set of adhoc employees. Those writ petitions came up for hearing on 17.12.2015 before this Hon'ble Court, on the

CWP-9201-2013

said date, the same were dismissed as infructuous on the ground that the selection process in pursuance to the Advertisement dated 21.12.2012 has already been cancelled.”

3. In view of the aforesaid stand and keeping in view the order dated 17.12.2015 (Annexure R-2/1), I am of the view that the present writ petition is rendered infructuous as the very advertisement on the basis of which petitioners are seeking selection was cancelled by the competent authority. Since no selection is being made on adhoc basis pursuant to the advertisement or even otherwise as per the stand taken by respondents No.2, the grievance of the petitioners qua the same does not survive.

4. Writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

14.10.2019

Ankur

**(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No