

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 33843 of 2019
Date of Decision:-09.12.2019**

Jaspreet Singh @ Jassa

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present:- Mr. J.S. Dhaliwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Baljinder Singh Sra, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

MANOJ BAJAJ J.(Oral)

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.54 dated 12.7.2019, under Sections 325, 323, 34 IPC (Section 308 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Balianwali, District Bathinda. The petitioner apprehended his arrest at the hands of Police in the above FIR.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has invited the attention of the Court to the order dated 21.8.2019 whereby while issuing notice of motion to the respondent-State, the interim protection was extended to the petitioner. The said order reads as under:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the FIR was registered on 12.07.2019 and subsequently

on 29.07.2019, the offence punishable under Section 308 IPC was introduced which has given rise to the fresh apprehension of arrest.

Notice of motion for 09.12.2019.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that in deference to the said order, the petitioner submitted himself before the Police and joined the investigation. According to him, the petitioner cooperated with the Police Authorities during the investigation and furnished requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer.

Learned State counsel who is assisted by ASI Chanan Lal does not dispute this fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation. Learned counsel on instructions from ASI Chanan Lal further states that the petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 21.8.2019 is made absolute.

December 09, 2019
Vijay Asija

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable	Yes / No
--------------------	----------