

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH

SMRITI
21.05.2019 13:57
Attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CWP-8237-2014 (O&M)

Date of decision : 02.05.2019

Devender Jain and Ors

... Petitioners

vs.

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present : Mr. Harsh Aggarwal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Sunil Kumar Vashisth, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Ashok Kumar Jindal, Advocate for

Mr. Sanjay Mittal, Advocate, for respondents No. 4 and 5.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Petitioners herein, *inter alia*, seek issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing respondent No. 3 to take action pursuant to complaint dated 19.08.2013 (Annexure P-7) read with complaint dated 26.08.2013 (Annexure P-9).

Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel appearing for respondents No. 4 and 5, at the very outset, submit that the present writ petition is rendered infructuous, as grievance of the petitioners, raised in complaints dated 19.08.2013 and 26.08.2013 (Annexures P-7 and P-9, respectively) has been duly dealt with, during the pendency of the writ petition, vide order dated 21.05.2014 (Annexure R3/1) and, therefore, nothing survives in the present writ petition.

In view thereof, the present writ petition is disposed of having been rendered infructuous. However, liberty is granted to the petitioners to seek appropriate remedy qua order dated 21.05.2014 (Annexure R3/1).

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

May 02, 2019

smriti

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes/No

Whether reportable :

Yes/No.