

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

108

CRM-M-34750 of 2019
Date of decision:27.8.2019

Sonik Ranjan and another

... Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.P.S.Jammu, Advocate,
for the petitioners

...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

In respect of their grievance, the petitioners are shown to have made a representation to the Commissioner of Police, Gurugram, on 28.7.2019 (Copy Annexure P-4).

Consequently, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the Commissioner of Police, Gurugram, i.e. respondent no.2, to hear the petitioners and determine as to whether there is any truth in any complaint made by respondent no.5 against the petitioners, the contention of learned counsel for the petitioners before this Court being that respondent no.5 allegedly was beaten up in Uttrakhand (as per the said respondents' complaint), at the instance of the petitioners.

Learned counsel submits that the petitioners have no links whatsoever with any such person in Uttrakhand as is alleged to have beaten up/attacked respondent no.5.

The petitioners would be heard by the Commissioner of Police within 15 days from today.

In the meanwhile, the petitioners would not be summoned

except by due process of law as prescribed in the Cr.P.C.

27.8.2019
pk

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No