

212

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-34523 of 2019

Date of decision: September 30, 2019

Sukhwinder Kumar @ Karnail Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Surjeet Singh Sodhi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Senior DAG Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner, in FIR No.165 dated 19.06.2017, under Sections 20/21 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, registered at Police Station Dakha, District Ludhiana.

On 26.08.2019, while issuing notice of motion, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Contends that on account of noting down some wrong date, petitioner could not appear on 16.07.2019 before the learned trial Court and that led to cancellation of bail bonds and issuance of non-bailbale warrants.

Notice of motion for 30.09.2019.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to appear before the learned trial Court. In the event of his doing so, learned trial Court would admit him to interim bail till the next date of hearing on his furnishing adequate bail and

surety bonds to its satisfaction.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in terms of interim order dated 26.08.2019 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined proceedings before learned trial Court and has also furnished necessary bail/surety bonds. He has also submitted that now the petitioner is appearing regularly before learned trial Court.

The above factual position is duly acknowledged by learned State counsel, on instructions from Head Constable Ravinder.

In view of the above, order dated 26.08.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute, subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the learned trial Court for conducting smooth trial without seeking any unnecessary adjournments.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

September 30, 2019

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No