

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-21968 of 2019

Date of decision: - 21.08.2019

Ram Karan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Jatender Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

In the present writ petition, the challenge by the petitioner is to the reply to the legal notice by Executive Engineer. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the Executive Engineer is not the competent authority to decide the claim of the petitioner.

On being asked that if the Executive Engineer is not the competent authority then why the petitioner choose to serve a legal notice upon the Executive Engineer and why petitioner did not approach the competent authority after the passing of the order by Executive Engineer, learned counsel for the petitioner is unable to answer the query.

Keeping in view the above, learned counsel for the petitioner prays that he be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with a liberty to approach the competent authority by filing a representation in respect of his claim as being made in the present writ petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn, with the liberty, as prayed for.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

August 21, 2019
kanchan

Whether reasoned/speaking? *Yes/No*
Whether reportable? *Yes/No*