

214-2

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-33769 of 2019

Date of decision: August 29, 2019

Ram Singh @ Maamru

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Ankit Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Senior DAG Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, in FIR No.60 dated 26.06.2019, under Sections 452, 427, 506, 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Bassi Pathana, District Fatehgarh Sahib.

As per prosecution case, petitioner along with his co-accused, armed with weapons, forcibly entered the house of the complainant and caused injuries to him.

On 21.08.2019, while issuing notice of motion, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Contends that this is a no injury case.

Notice of motion for 29.08.2019.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail in the present case till the next date of hearing on his

*furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction.
The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as
envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”*

Learned State counsel, on instructions from police official present in Court to assist him has stated that in terms of order dated 21.08.2019, petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 21.08.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

August 29, 2019

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No