

**In The High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana
At Chandigarh**

CRM-M-33488-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 5.12.2019

Abdul Shakoor and another ... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab ... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Mohd. Yousaf, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms. Rashmi Attri, AAG, Punjab,
assisted by SI Gurmeet Singh.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioners-Abdul Shakoor and Rasidana, who are father-in-law and mother-in-law of complainant seek grant of anticipatory bail in a case registered vide FIR No.109, dated 31.7.2019, registered at Police Station City-I Malerkotla, District Sangrur, under Section 498-A IPC.
2. The FIR was lodged at the instance of the victim Sehnaz wherein it has been alleged that she was married to Mohd. Yasin about 2 years

back and that her parents had given dowry beyond their means. It is alleged that her husband, her mother-in-law and father-in-law however were not happy with the same and started harassing her and also used to give beatings to her in order to press upon their demands of more dowry. It is alleged that ultimately she was thrown out of her matrimonial home when she was pregnant. It is also alleged that her husband even told her to get the pregnancy terminated but she did not wish to get the same terminated and she ultimately gave birth to a daughter.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that they have falsely been implicated in the present case on account of some matrimonial incompatibility between son of the petitioners and his wife (complainant) and that all the allegations in respect of the alleged harassment, as levelled in the FIR have been lodged falsely.
4. Opposing the petition, learned State counsel has submitted that since the petitioners are specifically named in the FIR and categorical allegations have been levelled against them, no case for grant of bail is made out. It has however been informed that the petitioners have since joined investigation.
5. Having regard to the nature of allegations and the fact that the petitioners have joined investigation, their custodial interrogation is not warranted. The petition, as such, is accepted and interim directions issued vide order dated 20.8.2019 are made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioners shall appear and join investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with

the Investigating Officer and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

December 5, 2019

mohan

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking /reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No