

110

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 4918 of 2019
Date of Decision: 20.08.2019

Ravinderpal Kaur

-Petitioner

Vs

Manjit Kaur and others

-Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner was defendant No.8 in the civil suit for recovery.
2. There was an agreement to sell in respect of 53K-7M out of 106K-10M in which the plaintiff and defendants No.2 to 7 were co-sharers.
3. Petitioner was subsequent vendee during currency of obligation between plaintiff and defendants No.2 to 7. Private property of the petitioner situated in some different District is sought to be attached in execution of decree for recovery.
4. An application under Order 21 Rule 58 and Section 60-C read with Section 151 CPC filed by the petitioner is pending consideration before the Executing Court.

5. In view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity of issuing any notice to the respondents as it would further delay disposal of the present revision petition and no prejudice is going to be caused to anyone.

6. Pleadings of the aforesaid application are complete before the Executing Court.

7. At this stage, without meaning anything on the merits of the case, it would be just and appropriate to direct the Executing Court to decide the aforesaid application within reasonable time in accordance with law without being influenced by any statement of fact made hereinabove.

8. Till such time, sale (if any) be not confirmed.

20.08.2019
Jyoti Yadav

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No