

211 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-24960-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision-14.05.2019

Rakesh Kumar

...Petitioner

Vs.

Canara Bank and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Ms. Sharmila Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. H.S. Bhatia, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Bhagwat Dayal Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

Respondent No.3 proceeded against *ex parte*
vide order dated 17.01.2019.

Mr. P.K. Sehrawaat, Advocate for
Mr. Vivek Goel, Advocate
for respondent Nos.4 & 5.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to lift the stocks of rice and bardana lying in the premises, purchased by the petitioner in auction conducted by respondent No.1 on 24.08.2018 and further directing respondent No.1 to pay interest to the petitioner @12% per annum on the amount of ₹3,20,00,000/- paid by the petitioner till the time the premises in question were got vacated.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 on instructions states that

the stocks of rice and bardana which were lying in the premises of the

petitioner have been removed and the intended purpose of filing the present petition stands achieved.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner needs to be compensated by respondent No.2 as the possession was not handed over to him.

In this view of the matter and keeping in view the fact that the intended purpose of filing the present petition stands achieved, the present petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to move necessary petition for redressal of his grievance with regard to compensation.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

14.05.2019

ps-I

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No