

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-33673-2019

Date of Decision: 05.09.2019

**Rajbir and others**

.....Petitioners

**Vs.**

**State of Haryana**

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH**

Present: Mr. Jitender Malik, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, A.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. S.K.Verma, Advocate,  
for the complainant.

\*\*\*\*\*

**AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)**

By this petition, the petitioners seek the concession of anticipatory bail, upon FIR No. 275 dated, 21.06.2019, having been registered against them at Police Station Tosham, District Bhiwani, alleging therein the commission of an offence punishable under Section 306 read with Section 34 of the IPC.

The allegation against the petitioners is that despite having entered into an agreement with the complainant (s) qua some land in question, they did not honour their deal/violated the terms of that deal, and retook possession of the land on 20/21.06.2019, with, earlier a suit for prohibitory and mandatory injunction having been instituted by the complainant in January 2019.

Allegedly due to the petitioners not vacating the said land thereafter, despite prtologed litigation, the father of the complainant is stated to have committed suicide on 21.06.2019.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the compromise that was effected before the '*Lok Adalat*' for return of Rs. 14,00,000/- has nothing to do with the land in question, which the petitioners are in possession of.

Learned counsel for the complainant, as counsel for the State, submit that the deceased, Parmanand Sharma, had also written in the suicide note on 20.06.2019, that the petitioners are the once who are responsible for leading him to commit suicide because they had taken illegal possession of the land in dispute.

That being so, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case for or against the petitioners, I see no ground to entertain this petition seeking anticipatory bail for the petitioners, which is consequently dismissed.

**September 05, 2019**  
nitin

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether Reportable

Yes  
No.