

**C.M. NO.7422 OF 2019 &
CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.24786 OF 2018**

:{ 1 }:

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

103

**C.M. NO.7422 OF 2019 &
CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO.24786 OF 2018
DATE OF DECISION: MAY 10, 2019**

Sanjeev Kumar

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Vaneet Kumar Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

C.M. No.7422 of 2019

Prayer in this application is for impleading the applicants as respondent Nos.4 to 9 as numerous FIRs have been registered against them and other allegations have also been made. It has further been stated that if the present writ petition is allowed, they are likely to be affected and, thus, are necessary parties. The application is supported by an affidavit of the petitioner.

In view of the above, applicants, Vipin Kumar, Lachhman Dass, Sethi Lal, Rajinder Pal Singh @ Chauhan , Hari Parkash and Yusuf Pal, as mentioned in Para 2 of the application are impleaded as respondent Nos.4 to 9. Amended memo parties appended alongwith the application is taken on record. Registry is directed to place the same at an appropriate place of the case.

C.W.P. No.24786 of 2018

It is the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner that

respondent No.3, who is Patwari at Model Gram, Village Peeru Banda, District Ludhiana, in connivance with respondent Nos.4 to 9 has made certain changes in the revenue record and has wrongly entered the mutation of records in favour of respondent Nos.4 to 9. The petitioner has approached the said respondent No.3 for correction of the revenue record, who has raised a demand of ₹50,000/- as an illegal gratification. He contends that while highlighting this aspect in detail, the petitioner has already submitted a representation dated 10.07.2018 (Annexure P-1) to the Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana-respondent No.2 but till date no action thereon has been taken. Counsel, thus, contends that the petitioner, at this stage, would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to look into the said representation of the petitioner and take a decision thereon within some specified time.

Without going into the merits of the case and commenting thereon, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction that ini case representation dated 10.07.2018, Annexure P-1, has been submitted by the petitioner to the Deputy Commissioner, Ludhiana-respondent No.2, the same shall be considered and decided by him by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Decision so taken be conveyed to the petitioner forthwith. In case some substance is found in the representation of the petitioner, appropriate steps in accordance with law be taken.

May 10, 2019
khurmi

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No