

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-5348 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 19.09.2019**

Sunder Singh

.... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ram Niwas Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Safia Gupta, AAG, Haryana alongwith
Dr. Asrudin, DGHS (Training)

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.

Counsel for the petitioner states that during the pendency of the writ petition, the respondents have passed order dated 18.09.2019 granting the benefit of step up of pay to the petitioner equivalent to his junior Mastan Singh and the petitioner is satisfied with the grant of such relief. He further states that initially after passing impugned order dated 18.02.2017, by which the pay of the petitioner was refixed and reduced, the respondents have been recovering the amount from the petitioner, which as per the settled principle of law cannot be done from a retiree.

Counsel for the respondents on instructions from Dr. Asrudin, DGHS (Training) states that no recovery is being effected from the petitioner.

Counsel for the petitioner states that in view of the above, the

claim of the petitioner stands satisfied and he does not press the present writ petition any further and same may be disposed of as such.

The present writ petition stands disposed of having been not pressed.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

19.09.2019
aarti

- | | | |
|----|--------------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/non-speaking? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |