

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 21939 OF 2019
DATE OF DECISION : 21.08.2019**

Manoj Kumar Mittal

...Petitioner

versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present:- Mr. Mukand Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

ARUN MONGA, J.(ORAL)

Grievance of the petitioner is that on being awarded promotion, he has been transferred from Ludhiana to Mumbai vide order dated 16.07.2019 (Annexure P-5) impugned herein.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner points out that similarly situated employees who were promoted like the petitioner, have been allowed to retain at the same place of posting, as has been specifically enumerated in paras 8 and 9 of the writ petition. In the premise, the petitioner also submitted representation dated 25.07.2019 (Annexure P-6), which has not been adverted to by respondent No.2 till date. Hence the petition.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On advance service of copy of the petition, Ms.Tanvi Jain, Advocate, appears on behalf of respondents.

5. Given the nature of order being passed, there is no necessity to seek return by any of the respondents as no further proceedings and/or pleadings are required.

6. Without commenting on the merits of case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to objectively consider the representation (Annexure P-6) and also by keeping in view the contentions stated in this petition by treating it as a supplementary representation and pass a speaking order, in accordance with law.

7. Let the needful be done within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

8. Disposed of in above terms.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

AUGUST 21, 2019

shalini

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No