

221

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-33544 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: September 19, 2019

Kaki Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Multani, AAG Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner, in FIR No.20 dated 05.03.2018, under Section 15 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, registered at Police Station Sadar Malout.

On 20.08.2019, while issuing notice of motion, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Contends that although the petitioner was regularly appearing before learned trial Court, but on account of some miscommunication with the Counsel of learned trial Court, petitioner could not appear on 29.07.2019 and that led to the cancellation of her bail.

Notice of motion for 19.09.2019.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to surrender before learned trial Court. On her doing so, she shall be released on interim bail in the present case till the next date

of hearing subject to the satisfaction of learned trial Court.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from police official present in Court to assist him, has apprised the Court that in terms of interim order dated 20.08.2019 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined proceedings before learned trial Court and also furnished necessary bail/surety bonds. He has also submitted that now the petitioner is appearing regularly before learned trial Court.

In view of the above, order dated 20.08.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the learned trial Court for conducting smooth trial without seeking any unnecessary adjournments.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

September 19, 2019

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No