

219

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-33543 of 2019

Date of decision: October 03, 2019

Ishro Bai and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. H.S. Multani, AAG Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioners, in FIR No.82 dated 09.07.2019, under Sections 420, 384, 389, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station City Jalalabad, District Fazilka.

On 22.08.2019, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Contends that both petitioner No.1 and 2 are mother and son, respectively and even the co-accused, namely Thana Singh has already been granted concession of pre-arrest bail by the learned trial Court.

Learned State counsel seeks time to verify the same.

Adjourned to 03.10.2019.

In the meanwhile, petitioners are directed to join investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of their arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit them to interim bail, till the next date of hearing, on their furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The

petitioners are also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Gurnam Singh, has stated that in terms of order dated 22.08.2019, the petitioners have joined investigation and their custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 22.08.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioners, is made absolute, subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioners shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

October 03, 2019

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No