

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

207

**CWP No.24580 of 2018
Date of Decision: 05.07.2019**

Kulwinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Regional Director, Reserve Bank of India and others Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR TYAGI**

Present : Ms. Satinder Kaur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Sharma, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 4.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner got a Trip Trailer (heavy vehicle) financed from Ashok Leyland which was hypothecated to Hinduja Leyland Finance Limited. The petitioner was to pay monthly instalments of ₹ 51,700/-. The present petition has been filed to restrain respondents No.2 to 4 from taking possession of the vehicle in question forcibly otherwise than in accordance with law.

After the notice was issued in this case, the respondents have put in appearance and at one stage the counsel for the petitioner had submitted that a sum of ₹ 1,75,000/- shall be deposited within one month in the account of the respondents. The case was thereafter adjourned. Today the learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that despite her explaining the pros and cons to the petitioner, that in case of not depositing the amount of ₹ 1,75,000/-, the writ petition may be dismissed yet the petitioner has not deposited a single penny so far and not even contacted her. She has thus prayed that the writ petition may be disposed of with a direction that the respondent may repossess

the vehicle in question in accordance with law.

Counsel for respondents No.2 to 4 has also stated that in the absence of payment of the amount of instalment, the vehicle in question will be possessed in accordance with law.

In view thereof, the present petition is hereby disposed of.

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

(ARUN KUMAR TYAGI)
JUDGE

05.07.2019
Vinay

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No